

Did They Break It ?

The Nuremberg Code

1. Voluntary informed consent.
2. Fruitful result for the good of society.
3. Prior experimentation on animals, and prior knowledge of the problem.
4. Avoidance of unnecessary physical or mental injury.
5. Banning of known lethal or disabling procedures.
6. Degree of risks should not exceed benefits.
7. Proper preparation and facilities to prevent injury or death.
8. Performance of experiments only by scientifically qualified people.
9. Participants may freely end the experiment.
10. The experiment must stop if it proves too dangerous.

1. Were the public given “voluntary informed consent”?

-No **✗** -We were lied to, and told everything was 100% safe.

2. Was the result good for society?

-No **✗** -It did NOT stop transmission and has proven to be harmful to many who were at little to no risk from Covid.

3. Were there prior experimentations on animals to “justify the performance of the experiment”?

-No **✗** -Animal experiments were conducted, but the results and validity are in question due to the lack of data, and lack of safety/efficacy in humans.

4. Did they “avoid all unnecessary physical or mental injury”?

-No **✗** - They persisted in coercing the vaccine even on people who were injured by the first shot. We were subject to 24/7 propaganda, brainwashing, and coercion from employers, media, and government entities.

5. Did they stop possible “lethal or disabling procedures”?

-No **✗** -Government health agencies continued to push the experimental shots despite the debilitating side effects and potential death. They went great lengths to cover up VAERS and all talk of vaccine injuries on social media.

6. Did the “degree of risk outweigh the benefits”?

-No **✗** -99% of people were not at risk from SARS-CoV-2, and the experimental mRNA shots did not prevent transmission.

7. Were proper preparations and facilities prepared to prevent “remote possibilities of injury, disability, or death”?

-No **✗** -Subjects were brainwashed and largely unaware they were being experimented on and did not know they were at risk of injury, disability, and potentially death.

8. Were the experimentations conducted by only the “scientifically qualified”?

-No **✗** -Scientists who spoke out about the mRNA shots were silenced, censored, and intimidated by government agencies. Pharma propagandists coerced lower level public health workers to administer shots that they did not know the true data about. The doctors didn't KNOW the vaccines were safe, they were told they were safe. They were wrong.

9. Can participants “freely end the experiment”?

-No **✗** -Most of them don't know they are being experimented on, and even if they do, permanent alterations have been made to their bodies via mRNA technology that cannot be undone as far as we know.

10. Did they stop the experiment when it “proved to be dangerous”?

-No **✗** -Not only did they not stop, they kept going, doubled down, and abused government emergency powers to silence and censor US citizens who spoke about the real dangers of the mRNA vaccines on social media.

The actions of our government violate all medical ethical standards and infringe upon the basic human rights recognized by every sovereign nation on the planet.

We submit this to you – the Court of Public Opinion – a jury of 7 billion souls.